


Conversational Hybrid Active Directory Security

By Nick Cavalancia (Microsoft MVP and CEO of Conversational Geek)



In this book, you will learn:

- Why on-prem AD security matters even when you move to Office 365 & Azure AD
- The 4 questions you should be able to answer in a truly secure hybrid AD
- How to get started using native tools on-prem, in Azure AD, and in Office 365

3rd Edition

Sponsored by

Quest

Sponsored by Quest®

Quest creates software solutions that make the benefits of new technology real in an increasingly complex IT landscape. From database and systems management, to Active Directory and Office 365 management, and cyber security resilience, Quest helps customers solve their next IT challenge now. Around the globe, more than 130,000 companies and 95% of the Fortune 500 count on Quest to deliver proactive management and monitoring for the next enterprise initiative, find the next solution for complex Microsoft challenges and stay ahead of the next threat. Quest Software. Where next meets now.




For more information, visit www.quest.com

Conversational Hybrid AD Security

By Nick Cavalancia

© 2021 Conversational Geek





Conversational Hybrid AD Security

Published by Conversational Geek Inc.

www.conversationalgeek.com

All rights reserved. No part of this book shall be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher. No patent liability is assumed with respect to the use of the information contained herein. Although every precaution has been taken in the preparation of this book, the publisher and author assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. Nor is any liability assumed for damages resulting from the use of the information contained herein.

Trademarks

Conversational Geek, the Conversational Geek logo and J. the Geek are trademarks of Conversational Geek $^{\text{TM}}$. All terms mentioned in this book that are known to be trademarks or service marks have been appropriately capitalized. We cannot attest to the accuracy of this information. Use of a term in this book should not be regarded as affecting the validity of any trademark or service mark.

Warning and Disclaimer

Every effort has been made to make this book as complete and as accurate as possible, but no warranty or fitness is implied. The information provided is on an "as is" basis. The author and the publisher shall have neither liability nor responsibility to any person or entity with respect to any loss or damages arising from the information contained in this book or programs accompanying it.

Additional Information

For general information on our other products and services, or how to create a custom Conversational Geek book for your business or organization, please visit our website at ConversationalGeek.com

Publisher Acknowledgments

Αl	l ot	the	tolks	responsible	e tor	the	creation	of this	guide:
----	------	-----	-------	-------------	-------	-----	----------	---------	--------

Author:	Nick Cavalancia
Project Editor:	Pete Roythorne
Copy Editor:	John Rugh
Content Reviewer	Dan Gauntner

Note from the Author

Active Directory has come a long way. When it was first introduced in 2000, the very idea of a security was limited to the simple (but, then, effective) mantra of "AGLP". Accounts were put into Global Groups, Global Groups into Local Groups, and Local Groups given permissions.

But, with the advent of interconnectivity via the Internet, both Active Directory and the security necessary to protect it have moved from being on-premises only, and have made the leap beyond the walls of the organization and created an AD environment that both exists on-premises *and* up in the cloud.

This means you're going to need to adjust your focus and methods by which you protect your hybrid AD instance, all the while realizing that — at the same time — there's nothing really new under the sun.

- Nick Cavalancia



The "Conversational" Method

We have two objectives when we create a "Conversational" book: First, to make sure it's written in a conversational tone so it's fun and easy to read. Second, to make sure you, the reader, can immediately take what you read and include it into your own conversations (personal or business-focused) with confidence.

These books are meant to increase your understanding of the subject. Terminology, conceptual ideas, trends in the market, and even fringe subject matter are brought together to ensure you can engage your customer, team, co-worker, friend and even the know-it-all Best Buy geek on a level playing field.


"Geek in the Mirror" Boxes

We infuse humor and insight into our books through both cartoons and light banter from the author. When you see one of these boxes, it's the author stepping outside the dialog to speak directly to you. It might be an anecdote, it might be a personal experience or gut reaction and analysis, it might just be a sarcastic quip, but these "geek in the mirror" boxes are not to be skipped.



Within these boxes I can share just about anything on the subject at hand. Read 'em!

Why Worry About Hybrid AD Security?



There shouldn't be an IT professional out there that isn't aware that the organization's cybersecurity posture is of utmost importance. The access provided by Active Directory to a user account in the wrong hands can result in a headline-worthy news story no organization wants to be included in.

We've already seen recent attacks in the news involving AD that demonstrate AD has got the attention of the bad guys as a means to a successful cyber attack:

- The attack on Virgin Mobile that resulted in AD credentials being sold on the Dark Web
- The attack on NTT Communications that was done as part of a data exfiltration attack
- A Ryuk ransomware attack that leveraged AD to propagate a logon script via Group Policy
- A Maze ransomware attack that used open-source tools to scan AD for accounts, trusts, and permissions.
- A Save the Queen ransomware attack that compromised SYSVOL and used its' replication to make a data file tracking all infected machines available at every location.

In short, AD is a target.

Add to this the mass adoption of Office 365 in 2020 (that now has the number of commercial seats at somewhere near 300 million) that relies upon an equally impressive directory service – Azure AD serving as Office 365's central nervous system to authenticate users. With the majority of customers with more than 500 employees using Office 365 also syncing their onpremises AD to Azure AD to allow for single authentication – thus creating a Hybrid AD environment. And because it's a oneway sync towards AAD, it's important to remember that your on-prem AD environment dictates the contents of AAD.

Because of this, your on-prem AD becomes the focal point for governing access controls, creating security-related compensation controls, and determining how access is granted in AAD and associated applications.

Even so, you might wonder why bother worrying about AAD when AD is already a large enough target. Over 500 million on-

prem AD accounts exist today, representing 90% of companies around the world representing that are reliant upon AD daily.



According to the 2020 *Verizon Data Breach Investigations Report*, the use of stolen credentials was the #2 threat action in data breaches (just behind phishing), making AD a prime target.

But AAD may just be a cyberattacker's gold mine; hosting over 1.2 billion identities and processing over 8 billion authentications a day. With over 12 million cyber-attacks hitting the entirety of the Microsoft cloud infrastructure daily, the conclusion is clear:

Any access gained through on-premises AD can have repercussions not just within AAD, but also reach well into any web-based applications leveraging AAD. Therefore, you need to be putting security controls in place within your on-premises AD to be reflected in your AAD instance, keeping the whole of your hybrid AD secure.

Now, this won't be without challenges. To properly secure your Hybrid AD, you're going to need to be able to discern:

- What can they do? ideally, you need to be able to tell which users have permissions to external apps and data, or even who has rights to, say, manage passwords across all of AD.
- What are they doing? In many cases, there is either not enough detail or no audit trail at all to provide a clear indicator of what was specifically changed in a given application or within AD itself.

- Is privileged access inappropriate? When IT accesses
 data they shouldn't be accessing, who is watching the
 watchers? Groups used for on-prem access to
 resources can also be giving access to cloud resources.
- How does this impact your org's cyber resilience? –
 The "next threat" is coming and is needed credentials to be successful. Does the resultant state of AD underpin the organization's ability to be resilient against an attack?

To address these challenges and help you implement proper hybrid AD security, you'll need to start at the source – your onpremises AD and put security controls in the context of making AD more secure, as well as AAD and the data, applications, and systems relying on AAD.

So, how do you secure the entirety of your Hybrid AD?

I'll use the four questions above as a framework throughout the remainder of this book to allow us to dig deeper and deeper into what's needed to make your Hybrid AD more secure.

The first place to start is with an understanding of the current state of your AD environment, and user's abilities within it.

What Can They Do?

Today's security isn't just about whether it is configured correctly; it's about continually having a secure stance in the face of both cyber-attackers seeking to take over a user's credentials and insiders misusing privileges for their own gain. To assess the potential risk that exists, you need to have an understanding of your organization's current security configuration — that is, get a definition of what "they" can do in your environment.

Now, who is this "they"? Is it just privileged IT users? Application or line of business owners? Low-level users? Who should you be concerning yourself with as you look to assess the current state of security?

Certainly, the focus is on accounts that have administrative access to AD, servers, sensitive applications and data, etc. But an attacker may only need to *access* any one of those same resources to reach their malicious objective. So, the most practical answer to who is "they" is *pretty much everyone*. This more so makes the point that you need to be focusing on the permissions assigned and not so much on roles to determine whose permissions need to be scrutinized.

To put it another way, focus on the *Do* in "What can they do?" and not the *They*.

So, are we just talking about permissions within AD (and, therefore, AAD)?

In short. no.

Your Hybrid AD serves as the foundation for access to applications, systems, and data both on-premises and within Azure (read: Office 365 applications and Azure applications). For example, a lower-level user in Finance could be in charge of the department's SharePoint Online site, where they store

sensitive financial reports and data. If that account was compromised, an attacker could potentially gain access to information the organization most definitely wouldn't want to get out. That's why you want (as much as is possible) to know what permissions are assigned to your AD users and groups.

So, in general, you need to be focused on anyone with a set of elevated privileges – that begins in AD with users that are members of groups like Domain Admins, but also those with permissions to reset passwords, manage group memberships, etc. Then you need to go well beyond AD and consider any and all applications utilizing AD users and groups to assign permissions, identifying those users with elevated privileges in each application. Lastly, consider looking outside AD/AAD to Azure itself to understand what security is in place that could be used maliciously to gain access to, say, a virtual DC hosted in Azure.

The challenge is, of course, none of the external permissions assigned to a given AD object are stored with the object itself. In essence, you need to look to the application or system in question and see how its' security is configured. And, given the ginormous number of systems you have, that's a daunting task. At a minimum, you have permissions within AD itself, File Shares, NTFS file systems, SQL Server databases, Exchange, SharePoint, databases, and proprietary applications. And then there's everything else within Office 365 – Exchange Online SharePoint Online, Teams, OneDrive, etc., as well as any other Azure AD-integrated applications.

In a perfect world, this needs to be documented (if it were up to me, I'd want a *Permissions Assigned* tab be added to objects in AD and any system that selects a given object adds an entry to the list so you'd have a central place to see anywhere an object has permissions). There are, of course, ways to do this manually via any built-in reporting that exists within a given application's management console and, in the case of Microsoft applications, there's always PowerShell.

In some cases, such as AD or Exchange Mailboxes, it's a relatively simple task using a single cmdlet (e.g. AD's *Get-Acl* and Exchange's *Get-MailboxPermission* cmdlets). Venturing out to other Microsoft applications and services (whether on-prem or within Office 365/Azure) will require some relatively more robust scripting – more than can be covered here.



Do keep in mind PowerShell cmdlets are generally application object-centric. That is, you're not asking "Show me everywhere Bob has permissions." Instead, you're asking "Who has rights to this specific object?" so you'll need to look for ways to first have PowerShell enumerate all the objects (e.g. folders in a file system, mailboxes in Exchange, etc.) and then pull permissions.

Third-party solutions do exist to report on the current state of permissions — usually per-application — providing centralized intelligent reporting that reduces the amount of time you'll spend on this kind of task, while improving the accuracy and completeness of the resulting data.

And then there's everything else that may integrate with AD – non-Microsoft or homegrown applications, as well as SaaS-based apps outside of Azure AD, etc. You'll need to look for built-in reporting to determine what assignments have been made.

Regardless of how you choose to determine what permissions every user has within your organization, the likely problem is you'll be looking at the permissions at only a point in time.

Reporting on the current state won't show you that someone

was given full rights to Finance's SharePoint Online site for 3 days and then removed. You only see the permissions as they are today, where that person does not have permissions. You're missing some context around the true nature of your Hybrid AD security.

This is why you need to be concerned with the actions taken by your users.

What Are They Doing?

Since it's far more likely you don't have a grasp on what permissions are assigned to your users and groups, having visibility into actions taken is the next best thing. If you can see a user *exercise* a permission they've been granted, you can conclude a minimal set of privileges assigned to them.

In addition, part of securing your Hybrid AD revolves around auditing all actions taken on systems that either contain, or provide access to, sensitive or valuable data.

In general, your response is to audit actions such as:

- Permission changes in AD
- Group membership changes in AD
- Group Policy changes in AD
- File Server access and permission changes
- SQL Server Database access and permission changes
- Exchange permission changes
- Non-Owner mailbox access in Exchange
- SharePoint access and permission changes



I'd add Teams to the list above, but since under the hood any files stored in Teams ultimately are in SharePoint (even those via OneDrive), I'll leave the list as is.

Depending on whether an application generates an audit trail and whether you're using native or third-party tools, this list can and should be much longer. Admittedly, this goes well outside of the context of AD. But I think it's important to have a grasp of what's going on within your organization so you can

see when permissions (that stem from access granted via AD) are being exercised so that you can go back to AD and make changes as needed to tighten up security.

That's going to generate a lot of data. What should you specifically look for?

There's no single right answer to what specifically you need to be watching in your organization. In general, you're looking for anything that falls either outside your security policy or outside the norm. For example, your security policies may dictate any changes made to the Enterprise Admins group require authorization. So, auditing for changes to that group makes sense as a precautionary measure. Users accessing particularly sensitive data on a file server could also be audited to detect when an abnormal number of files are read. This could be an indicator of someone copying and entire folder's contents to a USB drive.

Neither external attacks, nor insider threats are going to wait for you to begin taking advantage of audit log data; the time to begin is now.

So, where should you start your auditing?

Microsoft does provide you with a wide range of tools – some old and some new – to get you started.

Auditing AD with Native Tools


I'm going to make the assumption you've used Event Viewer before and, at very least, have the basics down regarding the types of logs available to you. So, I want to instead focus on how to centralize your log data and how to proactively get the most out of the Event Viewer tool.

Centralized Auditing with Event Subscriptions

Since your security focus is on a user and their activities, ultimately, you want to be able to make a request like "show me everything Bob did yesterday." While it doesn't look like Event Viewer will be doing that anytime soon, you can get yourself a bit closer using *Event Subscriptions*. If you haven't used these before, they allow you to setup events to be forwarded to a central computer (presumably a server). By centralizing log data, you'll be a step closer to having the "everything" part of the Bob query in one place to review.

Because I want to focus on how to get the most out of your auditing, you can read a great article on how to set this up at *bit.ly/EventSubscriptions*. It's a relatively short set of simple steps.

Once configured, you can create a subscription on the collector computer, where you can select which computers the data will be pulled in from and even which events you'd like pulled in, as shown below. This is useful if you're only auditing a specific application or system.




Please note that this isn't intended on replacing event log management or SIEM solutions; after all, eventually all the event log data you collect is going to take up a ton of storage and the queries in Event Viewer will slow to a snail's pace. This tech is simply a way for those of you that need to get started now and have a number of computers hosting logs to be able to look at all your data in one place.

The next step is to find ways to make finding the answer you need much faster than manually running filters.

Speed up Searches with XML Filtering

There's a two-fold problem with the default searching and filtering in Event Viewer. First off, it may take you some time to build just the right query, and secondly, the GUI-based filtering doesn't take advantage of all the data found in an event entry.

The most productive way to filter log data is to proactively build your own XML-based custom view. You can start with providing all the needed detail on the Filters tab, but then switch over to the XML tab (shown below) to augment the XML query.



For example, the XML filter above was built to specifically show any changes made to the Domain Admins group.

XML Filters allow you to take advantage of every piece of event metadata (which you can view by looking at the properties of a given event and selecting the XML tab), along with boolean operators (you know – and and or statements) to create as complex a filter as you need. Want to know what three specific users did yesterday, or need to put events from multiple logs into a single view? XML Filters can do this and much more.

The limitation here is, while XML filters does get you much closer to asking the real auditing questions you want like "Who accessed the CEO's mailbox yesterday?" (because that XML filter can be built), it still doesn't provide you with the ease of use found in third-party solutions. Also, at the end of the day,

you're still left looking at raw event log data – there's no intelligence or insight there; just information.

Auditing via PowerShell

I'd be remiss if I didn't at least cover this, even if in brief. The *get-eventlog* cmdlet serves as a powerful foundation for auditing. For example:

```
$a = get-eventlog -logname Security|where {$_.eventID -eq 4728}
$a | format-list -property *
```

Whether you're simply pulling the data from a single event, as shown in the code above, or using PowerShell to pull from multiple data sources, and then filter, manipulate, and present the data via complex coding, it's a viable option for those of you that want to do this yourself.

Auditing Azure AD

You can access audit data using the *Azure Portal* where activities are broken down into the following categories:

•	Users and groups	•	R2R

- Applications
 Administrative Unit
- RolesDirectory
- DevicesPolicy

For each category, you can run pre-defined reports whose results can be honed down using a somewhat small set of filters. With nearly 100 reports, this tool provides a lot of value.



You can see a full list of the builtin AAD auditing reports found inside the Azure Portal at bit.ly/AADAuditEvents

The built-in reports definitely cover a larger majority of your auditing needs. But should your audit turn into more of an investigation, where the answer to one query becomes the question for the next, you may find the built-in reports limiting.

Auditing Office 365

Ok, this one's a bit more complex. Unlike the previous two sections, where there was by and large a single tool to use, auditing Office 365 is a bit all over the place. With data in multiple locations, varying delays before audit data is available, and the need to use multiple consoles, auditing Office 365 will take a bit of work.

To start, you need to enable audit logging in the first place. This is done within the Office 365 Security & Compliance Center. You'll also need to separately enable mailbox logging for each mailbox if you want to see this kind of activity logged. By doing this you gain access to a wide variety of admin and user activity in the following services:

- Azure AD (O365-related)
- Exchange Online
- SharePoint Online
- OneDrive for Business
- Teams

- Power Automate
- Stream
- Dynamics 365
- Workplace Analytics
- Power BI
- Sway
- Yammer

Auditing in the Security & Compliance Center

Microsoft has centralized access to all this data within the *Audit Log Search* function of the Office 365 Security & Compliance Portal. The *Audit Log Search* feels a lot like a simplified web-based Event Viewer but with the benefit of having over 100 pre-defined activities (a complete list is available at **bit.ly/O365AuditActivities**) to speed up the process of finding just the right log entries.

Results can be filtered (shown below) using simple text-based values. Within the context of Office 365, this gets you fully to "What did Bob do yesterday"-type questions, as you can put in the user's name and yesterday's date in the filter and have your answer.

			▽ Filter	results		
Date ▼	IP address	User	Activity	Item	Save loaded results	
2019-06-24 12	2:5	admin@alpineh	SearchViewed	filtertest	Download all results	
2019-06-24 12	2:5	admin@alpineh	SearchViewed	filtertest		
2019-06-24 12	2:5	admin@alpineh	Deleted search	NOJANET		
2019-06-24 12	2:5	admin@alpineh	ViewedSearchPr	filtertest\filte	rte	

Results can be exported to a CSV file, with options to only export the first 50,000 loaded results as they appear in the console, or all results, which includes additional detail for each log entry.

Auditing Using PowerShell

Office 365 makes all of this same information available via PowerShell. It's a detailed process to initially configure — one too long to fit in this book (so lengthy, in fact, it could be a book of its own!). In short, you'll need to enable auditing, establish a PowerShell session with Office 365 using the *New-PSSession* cmdlet, and use the *Search-UnifiedAuditLog* cmdlet to retrieve the desired data.

Can You Really Tell What Are They Doing?

The goal here is to have visibility into the access and changes being made to both AD and applications that leverage AD. You've obviously got a wide range of tools to choose from, but there are some downsides. There's the obvious on-prem vs the cloud division that exists with the data itself; no single console provides you an ability to look at both on-prem AD and all the AAD/Office 365 data in one place. Which bring me to the second issue which needs no explanation — multiple consoles (yuck!). And lastly, the built-in tools are solid for the basic questions when the answer can be determined from filtering a few fields.

Built-in tools are great when you have a limited set of queries to run and are expecting a raw events for each for you to parse through. But when you need intelligence, centralized access to all data sources, and an interface designed with both in mind, you may need to look at third-party tools.

Lastly, there's a discussion that needs to be had around whether raw filtered data will give you the answer you need, or whether you need to corroborate data from more than one log to see a series of activities in order to gain some level of context around whether a user's actions are well-intended, suspicious, or downright criminal.

Is Access Inappropriate?

It's often a tough call. If someone in IT accessed the CEO's mailbox in Exchange Online, is it inappropriate? Were they asked to as part of a support call to undelete a message, or are they just snooping around? Same goes for a scenario where the CEO's password gets reset. You see, the action on its own doesn't necessarily tell the story.

To determine whether access is business-related or not, you need to have some level of policy that dictates what is out of the normal scope for any user with elevated privileges. Just about all of the actions I listed at the beginning of the *What Are They Doing?* Section apply here, but probably with a bit more specificity around which user accounts, mailboxes, NTFS folders, files, etc. are generally off limits.

And it's not just IT that need to be watched. Certainly, you need someone "watching the watchers," but just about any user with access to sensitive information should be included. The reason is there is a lot of concern today about users being over-privileged – access granted through group memberships of groups that haven't been properly vetted in years. If you

can't say you're reviewed to a group's membership, evaluated all the access granted, and attested to a group's existence in quite a while, you may have users with way too many permissions.



Over-privilege is more rampant than you think. According to the Ponemon study, *Corporate Data:* A Protected Asset or a Ticking Time Bomb?, 71% of users have access to resources they should not be able to see!

You won't know if an action is inappropriate or not just by looking at it, so the focus here should be more on defining those critical data sets and parts of AD that should be doubly under review and ensure there is some level of notification configured.

Event Viewer has the ability to send emails using the Basic Task Wizard. PowerShell has the *Send-MailMessage* cmdlet. And Office 365 has Activity Alerts in the Security & Compliance Center. We're talking about ensuring AD and the resulting access it provides is secure here, so be certain you have some level of alerting configured so IT isn't finding out about inappropriate access days, weeks, or months too late.

When notified about a suspect change, it's critical to understand the root cause – for example, when the CEO's password was changed, it's not just a question of who made the change; the story may go deeper should you find out the person who changed the password normally doesn't have permissions to do so. You then need to find out who gave that person permissions, and so on.

When investigating changes, you should be gathering needed context around that change, including what, specifically, was changed and how many users, domains, applications, etc. are impacted. This may, like the CEO example, become a chain of investigations — all to uncover the full scope of what changes were made, who did them, how permissions were attained, and how many people were involved.

Inappropriate access may just be an issue of someone seeing information they shouldn't. But, in some cases, you need to be worried about changes that have a much greater impact – one that can take the business down, even if for a short period of time.

Do Your Hybrid AD Security Efforts Improve Your Org's State of Cyber Resilience?

As you work to keep Hybrid AD secure, it should be done with an expectation that a successful attack is eminent. So, the goal is to implement security controls that will actually *reduce the threat surface* and make it more difficult for AD to be used as an asset as part of a cyberattack.

Thinking about this in the context of what's already been covered here, there are a few aspects of Hybrid AD security that need to be a focus to ensure resilience both in the face of and after an attack:

- Continual assessment of access via groups and permissions
- Detection and alerting of abnormal or suspicious activity in as close to real-time as is possible
- Put controls in place that mitigate or remediate unsanctioned changes that decrease your Hybrid AD's level of security
- Investigate actions taken (both administrative and user-based) that will provide context to help determine if malicious activity has occurred
- The ability to recover AD is necessary to be resilient, should it be determined that anything from a single object in AD to the entire forest (and anything in between) has been modified as part of an attack.

The Big Takeaways

The shift to a Hybrid AD extends the risk of inappropriate access to data and applications well beyond the extent of IT's visibility and, often times, administrative reach. The good news is everything starts with your on-prem AD and extends out from there.

By putting controls in place around your on-prem AD, you create a security layer around AD, AAD, Office 365, and your web applications. The 4 questions covered in this book provide you with perspective around how to best get a grasp of what's changing both in AD and the applications it impacts, how those changes affect your organization, and ensuring you're ready to react when they happen.

Vendor Sponsor Chapter: Quest



"How'd you figure that out so quickly?"

It's evident from the native tools Microsoft provides that they believe securing AD, AAD, and Office 365 is rather important. But it's also not their primary business, which usually translates to the tools they provide being adequate for the occasional or "less than in-depth" need, but not necessarily for situations where one needs to investigate suspect activity that may transcend multiple users, applications, actions, and weeks or months of time.

It's important to recognize that in your time of need, you will want to be able to have not just an ability to ask the auditing "question", as it were, and get back a set of matching events. You're going to want to obtain an intelligent answer in a timely fashion, and be able to use that answer as the basis for digging deeper, should it be necessary.

Quest has built a number of solutions using a security methodology that enables you to improve your security posture by taking control of your on-premises AD and extending those safeguards to the cloud. This methodology rests on 4 key pillars for hybrid AD security. The pillars are:

- Continually Assess
- Detect & Alert
- Remediate & Mitigate
- Investigate & Recover

Continually Assess

Your need to have a handle on the state of your security isn't something to focus on once a year with an official audit; it's an ongoing, ever-present part of Hybrid AD security that requires up-to-the-minute visibility. Continually assessing the state of your AD security and the applications that rely on it is necessary to have a current understanding of how security is configured, and who has access to what.

Quest Enterprise Reporter provides visibility into both the current and historical state of AD, Azure AD, Exchange and SharePoint on-prem, Office 365 services and more. Automating the task of discovering, reporting, and auditing, Enterprise Reporter simplifies the work of ensuring security and compliance with your security policies.

Detect & Alert

While continual assessments assist in gaining an understanding of the state of security, organizations still require the ability to more rapidly respond to immediate threats. IT teams are spending too much time chasing false positives while sifting through heaps of audit reports and raw data to find legitimate

alerts. IT needs the ability to audit changes in real-time and proactively detect threats based on user behavior patterns.

And for this type of auditing, Quest offers its *On Demand Audit Hybrid Suite*, which includes *On Demand Audit, Change Auditor for Active Directory* and *Change Auditor for Logon Activity*. This combined solution monitors and detects configuration, user and administrator changes made within AD, Azure AD, Exchange Online, SharePoint Online, OneDrive for Business and Teams. This is different from *Enterprise Reporter* in that *On Demand Audit* is actively watching the applications and systems for changes, whereas Enterprise Reporter collects the current configuration and compares it with a prior version. *On Demand Audit* notifies IT in real time to changes made, minimizing the impact of an inappropriate configuration.

Quest InTrust is an event log management (ELM) solution that consolidates log data from a myriad of sources, looking for changes found in the log data, and alerting IT when specific events are found. The benefit of InTrust is an ability to consolidate not just AD data, but also logs from other sources that can be used to provide further context around suspect events.

Remediate & Mitigate

Inappropriate actions don't always require a response equal to that of trying to stop threat activity. In many cases, overprivilege can simply be the culprit, where a number of responses can be appropriate. This can include automated prevention (where changes are automatically rolled back), automated responses (think along the lines of scripts used to perform tasks like disabling an account, etc.), or empowering IT to use activity data to create a Least Privilege environment based on actual use rather than just taking away elevated privileges. Whether in a case of over-privilege, insider misuse,

or external threat, the ability to proactively have a response lying in wait is critical.

Quest Change Auditor uses policy-based preventative measures when specifically monitoring changes in AD to stop attempted changes to your AD's most critical objects, which creates a watchful layer of security around your AD security, keeping it in check.

On Demand Group Management brings visibility and manageability of groups across your entire Hybrid AD environment. Using group creation policies and enforcement rules, groups continually meet the needed security specifications that help mitigate cyber risk. And with automated attestation, groups are continually validated to ensure their memberships are correct, minimizing access sprawl.

Quest GPOADmin provides a version control system and least privilege access capabilities for your Group Policy objects. In the event of an unwanted change, you can quickly roll back to a previous version.

Quest InTrust provides policy-based actions to respond to actions. Defined in advance, InTrust can perform multiple tasks to both mitigate the threat and inform IT. For example, if someone was to enable the Guest account, InTrust could be configured to automatically disable Guest, disable the person that tried to enable it, and notify the security team.

Quest Active Roles creates a least privilege AD environment, where every change is made via a portal (rather than to AD directly), acting as a proxy. This allows restriction of visibility (so privileged users can't see objects they can't manage), limited administrative functionality (only allowed actions are available), and the use of workflow for approvals and accountability to be added to the process of managing AD.

Investigate & Recover

When a security incident occurs, the ability to reduce response time is critical. Having a context-rich 360° view of the configuration and changes made to your entire Hybrid AD environment (including the applications it touches) will help to speed up root cause analysis and remediation.

In cases where a security incident impacts the organization's operating ability, it's often a bigger issue than just recovering AD or Azure AD. Recovery testing may be necessary to ensure an older version of AD won't impact other applications. And, in the worst of AD disasters, the need to recover entire forests may require automated recover to make certain the environment is recovered based on the application and system dependencies.

Quest Recovery Manager for Active Directory Disaster Recovery Edition positions your organization to be ready should an attack strike that wipes out anything from a single attribute to the entire forest – enabling a fast recovery to get operations back up and running.

Quest On Demand Recovery takes the recovery of your Azure and Office 365 investment a step further with intelligent difference reporting to help pinpoint which objects have changes (e.g., during a multi-day cyberattack). On Demand Recovery supports the recovery of Azure AD and Office 365 directory objects, as well as Teams data and Azure B2B/B2C accounts.

Quest IT Security Search gives those investigating suspect activities a view into data from Change Auditor, Enterprise Reporter, Recovery Manager, and InTrust, providing comprehensive visibility into your environment's configuration and changes across AD and all your most critical applications. This correlation of data using an interactive search engine allows for quick incident response and forensic analysis.

Four Pillars, One Objective

Your IT organization strives daily to make the network environment more secure. And as you extend the environment out into the cloud, you lose visibility and control, which puts the entire environment at risk.

Quest solutions provide a layered approach to security, covering the areas of continual assessment, detection and alerting, remediation and mitigation, and investigation and recovery – all working together to put you completely in control of your security, no matter where it is.



With more than 30 years' experience, 184 million AD accounts managed, 166 million accounts audited, and 95 million accounts migrated, you can count on Quest for everything Active Directory.



Modernize

End-to-end support for your next AD or hybrid AD challenge



Manage

Proactive management and monitoring for the next initiative



Secure

Greater cyber security resilience for the next threat

Learn more at www.Quest.com/Solutions/Active-Director



As organizations leverage Azure AD and Office 365, the adoption of a hybrid Active Directory is quickly becoming the norm. This book covers the high-level best practices necessary to continually ensure your on-prem – and, therefore, your AAD and Office 365 – AD instances, are all secure.



About Nick Cavalancia

Nick Cavalancia is Microsoft MVP, a Technical Evangelist by trade, and is a 25+ year IT veteran who regularly speaks and writes for some of today's most recognizable companies.



For more books on topics geeks love visit conversationalgeek.com